

THE GEODESIC FLOW ON NILMANIFOLDS

ALEJANDRO KOCSARD, GABRIELA P. OVANDO, AND SILVIO REGGIANI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the geodesic flow on nilmanifolds equipped with a left-invariant metric. We write the underlying definitions and find general formulas for the Poisson involution. As an example we develop the Heisenberg Lie group equipped with its canonical metric. We prove that a family of first integrals giving the complete integrability can be read off at the Lie algebra of the isometry group. We also explain the complete integrability on compact quotients and for any invariant metric.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a smooth manifold M , any complete Riemannian structure $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces the geodesic flow $\Gamma: M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ which can be defined as the Hamiltonian flow associated to the energy function $E(v) := 1/2 \langle v, v \rangle$ on TM .

Usually, this flow is not integrable in the sense of Liouville and it is generally expected that the integrability of the geodesic flow imposes important obstructions to the topology of the supporting manifold.

However, contrasting some results of Taimanov [19, 20] on topological obstructions for real-analytic manifolds supporting real-analytic integrable geodesic flows with some smooth examples of smoothly integrable geodesic flows on manifolds that do not satisfy the above obstructions constructed by Butler [3], and Bolsinov and Taimanov [2], we observe that the regularity of first integrals plays a fundamental role that nowadays is not completely well understood.

For that reason, when dealing with locally homogeneous manifolds to have the possibility of constructing real-analytic first integrals is very desirable.

In the advances reached in the theory of Hamiltonian systems in the 1980's one can recognize the role of Lie theory in the study of several examples. This is the case of the so known Adler-Kostant-Symes [1, 13, 18] scheme used for the study of some mechanical systems and of the so known Thimm's method for the study of the geodesic flow [21]. In both cases the main examples arise from semisimple Lie groups. These results appeared parallel to the many studies given by the Russian school which can be found for instance in [9]. Some non-homogeneous examples are found in [17].

For other Hamiltonian systems on non semisimple Lie groups only few examples and generalizations are known in the case of the geodesic flow. This is the situation for nilpotent and solvable Lie groups or even their compact quotients which are locally homogeneous manifolds.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C30 53C25 22E25 57S20.

Partially supported by ANPCyT and SCyT - Universidad Nacional de Rosario.

On the one hand Butler proved the integrability of the geodesic flow on Heisenberg-Reiter 2-step nilpotent Lie groups, among them one can find the Heisenberg Lie group [4]. He also found an algebraic condition for non-integrability. On the other hand, Eberlein started a study of the geometry concerning the geodesic flow on 2-step Lie groups following his own and longer study in this topic, giving a good material and references in [7, 8]. This study of Eberlein is much more general and is mixed with many other geometrical questions.

In the present paper we concentrate in the geodesic flow of Lie groups endowed with a metric invariant by left-translations. In the first part we write the basic definitions and get general conditions and formulas for the involution of first integrals making use of the Lie theory tools, that is, assuming some natural identifications which are not present in the work of Butler. However, all this stuff is good explained along the work. We write the underlying results on the tangent Lie groups and put special emphasis on 2-step nilpotent Lie groups, we take as nilmanifold after [22], for which there exists a developed geometrical theory and several examples and applications, see [6].

We apply the results we get to the case of the Heisenberg Lie group H_n of dimension $2n + 1$ equipped with the canonical left-invariant metric. Although this is a naturally reductive space the methods of Thimm do not apply in this case.

One of our motivations is to investigate the nature of the first integrals one can construct. We prove that all the first integrals we get can be visualized on the isometry group. In fact, this is the case of quadratic polynomials which are invariant, so as first integrals arising from Killing vector fields. Recall that, given a Killing vector field X^* on a Riemannian manifold M , one has a first integral on the tangent Lie bundle TM defined by $f_{X^*}(v) = \langle X^*, v \rangle$. We proved that

- (i) There is a bijection between the set of quadratic first integrals of the geodesic flow on H_n —with the canonical metric— and the Lie subalgebra of skew-symmetric derivations of the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$, so that involution of quadratic first integrals would correspond to a torus of skew-symmetric derivations (Theorem 4.1). Actually, a general formulation of quadratic polynomials on a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras to be first integrals is found so as the pairwise commutativity condition.
- (ii) The linear morphism $X^* \rightarrow f_{X^*}$ builds a Lie algebra isomorphism onto its image.

Item (ii) gives an answer to the question formulated in [21] and it is the first example we found of this situation.

Making use of all results we found a new family of first integrals for the geodesic flow on H_n .

After that, we consider an arbitrary lattice Λ and, passing to the quotient, we explain the integrability of the geodesic flow on the compact space $\Lambda \backslash H_n$. Finally, we consider any left-invariant metric on the Heisenberg Lie group H_n and prove that the corresponding geodesic flow is also completely integrable.

2. THE CANONICAL SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURE

Let M denote a differentiable manifold. Its cotangent bundle T^*M admits a canonical symplectic form $\tilde{\Omega}$ constructed as follows: for every $\xi \in T_\eta(T^*M)$ define the 1-form $\tilde{\Theta}$ by

$$\tilde{\Theta}(\xi) := \eta(d\tilde{\pi}(\xi)),$$

where $\tilde{\pi} : T^*M \rightarrow M$ is the canonical projection which assigns to $\eta \in T_p^*M$ the base point $p \in M$. Now the symplectic form of T^*M is given by

$$\tilde{\Omega} := -d\tilde{\Theta}.$$

If M is a Riemannian manifold with metric tensor $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, one induces a symplectic form Ω in the tangent bundle TM of M . In fact, take

$$\Theta(\xi) := \langle v, d\pi(\xi) \rangle,$$

where $\xi \in T_v(TM)$ and $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ denotes the canonical projection, and then define

$$\Omega := -d\Theta.$$

It is easy to see that both Θ and Ω are the pull-back by the natural diffeomorphism $\phi : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ given by $\phi(v) = \langle v, \cdot \rangle$, that is $\Theta = \phi^*(\tilde{\Theta})$ and $\Omega = \phi^*(\tilde{\Omega})$.

We shall concentrate the study in the case where M is a Lie group endowed with a left-invariant metric. Let N denote a Lie group endowed with a left-invariant metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the Lie algebra of N . The tangent bundle TN of N is parallelizable and it is identified with

$$TN \simeq N \times \mathfrak{n} = \{(p, Y) : p \in N, Y \in \mathfrak{n}\}.$$

With this identification, we consider the pair (p, Y) as the tangent vector $dL_p(Y_e) \in T_pN$. Recall that $TN \simeq N \times \mathfrak{n}$ is a Lie group regarded as the direct product of N and the abelian group $\mathfrak{n}$ and so

$$T_{(p,Y)}(TN) \simeq \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n} = \{(U, V) : U, V \in \mathfrak{n}\}.$$

When the base point is not clear from the context, we will use the notation $(U, V)_{(p,Y)}$ for a tangent vector in $T_{(p,Y)}(TN)$, $p \in N, Y \in \mathfrak{n}$. The pair (U, V) denotes a left-invariant field on TN (i.e., the left-invariant vector field U on N in the first coordinate, and the constant field V in $\mathfrak{n}$ in the second one).

With this identification, and since the metric on N is left-invariant, the canonical 1-form on TN has the following form

$$\Theta_{(p,Y)}(U, V) = \langle Y, U \rangle.$$

Recall that the curve on TN starting at (p, Y)

$$c(t) = (p \exp(tU), tV + Y)$$

has initial speed $(U, V) \in T_{(p,Y)}(TN)$. So,

$$(U, V)_{(p,Y)} \Theta(U', V') = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 \Theta((U', V')_{c(t)}) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 \langle tV + Y, U' \rangle,$$

and thus

$$(U, V)_{(p,Y)} \Theta(U', V') = \langle V, U' \rangle.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{(p,Y)}((U, V), (U', V')) &= -d\Theta((U, V), (U', V')) \\
&= -\{(U, V)_{(p,Y)}\Theta(U', V') - (U', V')_{(p,Y)}\Theta(U, V) \\
&\quad - \Theta_{(p,Y)}([(U, V), (U', V')])\} \\
&= -\langle V, U' \rangle + \langle U, V' \rangle + \Theta_{(p,Y)}([U, U'], 0),
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$(2.1) \quad \Omega_{(p,Y)}((U, V), (U', V')) = \langle U, V' \rangle - \langle V, U' \rangle + \langle Y, [U, U'] \rangle.$$

Remark 2.1. A similar work can be done in the cotangent bundle

$$T^*N \simeq N \times \mathfrak{n}^* = \{(h, \alpha) : h \in N, \alpha \in \mathfrak{n}^*\}.$$

Here the pair (h, α) is identified with $\alpha \circ dL_{h^{-1}}|_h$, after identifying $\mathfrak{n} \simeq T_e N$ as usual. Notice that if we move h but fix α we get a left-invariant 1-form on N . Using similar notations and identifications as in the case of the tangent bundle, one can get:

$$T_{(h,\alpha)}(T^*N) = \mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}^* = \{(U, \beta) : U \in \mathfrak{n}, \beta \in \mathfrak{n}^*\},$$

and hence,

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{(h,\alpha)}(U, \beta) = \alpha_e(\delta L_{h^{-1}} U_h).$$

A curve in T^*N with initial speed $(U, \beta) \in T_{(h,\alpha)}(T^*N)$ is given by

$$c(t) = (h \exp(tU), t\beta + \alpha).$$

Analogously to the tangent bundle one can show that

$$(U, \beta)_{(h,\alpha)}\Theta(U', \beta') = \beta(U'),$$

and

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{(h,\alpha)}((U, \beta), (U', \beta')) = -\beta(U') + \beta'(U) + \alpha([U, U']).$$

The Lie group N is equipped with the product metric of $N \times \mathfrak{n}$ which is invariant under the left-translations L_g for $g \in N$. In other words the Lie group N acts on TN by isometries. From now we shall assume that TN is endowed with this metric.

The next definitions introduce the Hamiltonian vector field and the gradient field.

Definition 2.2. Let $f : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function.

- The Hamiltonian vector field X_f of f is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad df_{(p,Y)}(W) = \Omega_{(p,Y)}(X_f, W) \quad \text{for } W \in T_p N,$$

with Hamiltonian equation

$$(2.3) \quad c'(t) = X_f(c(t)) \quad \text{for a smooth curve } c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TN.$$

- The gradient field for f , denoted $\text{grad } f$, is the vector field on TN given by

$$(2.4) \quad df_{(p,Y)}(W) = \langle \text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f, W \rangle \quad \text{for } W \in T_p N.$$

Let $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f = (U, V)$, for $U, V \in \mathfrak{n}$ denote the gradient field of a smooth function $f : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We shall compute the Hamiltonian vector field X_f in terms of the gradient vector field.

Let us denote $X_f(p, Y) = (U'', V'')$. If (U', V') is an arbitrary element of $T_{(p,Y)}TN$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U, U' \rangle + \langle V, V' \rangle &= \langle \text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f, (U', V') \rangle \\ &= df_{(p,Y)}(U', V') \\ &= \Omega_{(p,Y)}((U'', V''), (U', V')) \\ &= \langle U'', V' \rangle - \langle V'', U' \rangle + \langle Y, [U'', U'] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now choose $U' = 0$, so that the equalities above reduce to

$$\langle V, V' \rangle = \langle U'', V' \rangle$$

implying that $U'' = V$. By making use of this in the relations above one gets

$$(2.5) \quad \langle U, U' \rangle = -\langle V'', U' \rangle + \langle Y, [V, U'] \rangle \quad \text{for all } U' \in \mathfrak{n}.$$

Hence the Hamiltonian vector field for $f : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$(2.6) \quad X_f(p, Y) = (V, -U + \text{ad}^t(V)Y) \quad \text{for} \quad \text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f = (U, V)$$

where $\text{ad}^t(V)$ denotes the transpose of $\text{ad}(V)$ relative to the metric on $\mathfrak{n}$.

Recall that a symplectic structure on a symplectic manifold (N, Ω) defines a *Poisson bracket* on $C^\infty(M)$ in the following way. Let $f, g : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth functions, then its Poisson bracket is given by

$$(2.7) \quad \{f, g\}(p, Y) = \Omega_{(p,Y)}(X_f, X_g)$$

and one says that f and g are *in involution* if $\{f, g\} = 0$, alternatively f, g Poisson commute.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ denote a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant metric and let $f : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote a smooth function. If one denotes the gradient of f by $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f = (U, V)$, then*

(i) *the Hamiltonian vector field of f is given by*

$$(2.8) \quad X_f(p, Y) = (V, \text{ad}^t(V)(Y) - U)$$

where $\text{ad}^t(V)$ denotes the transpose of $\text{ad}(V)$ with respect to the given left-invariant metric on N .

(ii) *The Poisson bracket of a pair of functions $f, g : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by*

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \{f, g\}(p, Y) &= -\Omega_{(p,Y)}(A \text{grad} f, A \text{grad} g) \\ &= \langle V', U \rangle - \langle V, U' \rangle - \langle Y, [V, V'] \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $A(U, V) = (V, U)$.

Proof. The statement (i) was proved above. For the proof of the second statement assume $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f = (U, V)$ and $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} g = (U', V')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, g\}(p, Y) &= \Omega_{(p,Y)}(X_f, X_g) \\ &= \Omega_{(p,Y)}(V, \text{ad}^t(V)(Y) - U), (V', \text{ad}^t(V')(Y) - U') \\ &= \langle V', U \rangle - \langle V, U' \rangle + \langle Y, [V', V] \rangle \\ &= -(\langle V, U' \rangle - \langle V', U \rangle + \langle Y, [V, V'] \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

2.1. Example: 2-step nilpotent Lie groups. Assume N is a connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$. Whenever N is furnished with a left-invariant metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, one can read several geometrical features of N at the Lie algebraic level. In fact, the Riemannian metric is determined at the identity element so that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ can be decomposed into a orthogonal direct sum

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z} \quad \text{with } \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{z}^\perp$$

where as usual $\mathfrak{z}$ denotes the center of $\mathfrak{n}$. The Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{n}$ induces for $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ the skew-symmetric linear map $j(Z) : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ given by

$$(2.10) \quad \langle [U, V], Z \rangle = \langle j(Z)U, V \rangle \quad \text{for } Z \in \mathfrak{z}, U, V \in \mathfrak{v}.$$

Conversely, let $(\mathfrak{a}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}})$ and $(\mathfrak{b}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{b}})$ denote vector spaces endowed with respective inner products. Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ denote the direct sum as vector spaces and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the product metric on $\mathfrak{n}$ given by

$$(2.11) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{a}} \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{b}} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{b}} \quad \langle \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \rangle = 0.$$

Let $j : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{b})$ be a linear map such that $j(Z)$ is skew-symmetric with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{b}}$ for every $Z \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then $\mathfrak{n}$ becomes a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra if one defines a Lie bracket by the relation in (2.10) and so that $\mathfrak{a}$ is contained in the center of $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{z}$; actually

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{z} &= \mathfrak{a} \oplus \{V \in \mathfrak{b} : [V, U] = 0 \text{ for all } U \in \mathfrak{b}\} \\ &= \mathfrak{a} \oplus \bigcap_{Z \in \mathfrak{a}} \ker j(Z). \end{aligned}$$

By using the translations on the left, the corresponding connected Lie group N is endowed with a Riemannian metric.

The inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ produces a decomposition of the center of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ as a orthogonal direct sum as vector spaces

$$\mathfrak{z} = \ker j \oplus C(\mathfrak{n}),$$

where $C(\mathfrak{n})$ denotes the commutator of $\mathfrak{n}$ and the linear map j is injective if and only if there is no Euclidean factor in the De Rahm decomposition of the simply connected Lie group $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ (see [10]).

Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra. We say that $\mathfrak{n}$ is *non-singular* if $\text{ad}(X) : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}$ is surjective for all $X \in \mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{z}$. One can show that the following properties are equivalent - see for instance [6]:

- (i) $\mathfrak{n}$ is non-singular;
- (ii) for every inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{n}$ and every nonzero element Z of $\mathfrak{z}$ the linear map $j(Z)$ is non-singular;

(iii) for some inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{n}$ and every non-zero element Z of $\mathfrak{z}$ the linear map $j(Z)$ is non-singular.

Let us denote by $W_{\mathfrak{v}}$ (resp. $W_{\mathfrak{z}}$) the $\mathfrak{v}$ -component (resp. $\mathfrak{z}$ -component) of an element $W \in \mathfrak{n}$. Hence for arbitrary elements $Y, V, U' \in \mathfrak{n}$ one has

$$\langle Y, [V, W] \rangle = \langle Y_{\mathfrak{z}}, [V_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}}] \rangle = \langle j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})V_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle,$$

and the symplectic structure on TN can be written in the following way

$$\Omega_{(p,Y)}((U, V), (U', V')) = \langle U, V' \rangle - \langle V, U' \rangle + \langle j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})U_{\mathfrak{v}}, U'_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle.$$

In particular if N is 2-step nilpotent and $f : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth map with gradient field $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f = (U, V)$ then Lemma 3.2 says

$$(2.12) \quad X_f(p, Y) = (V, j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})V_{\mathfrak{v}} - U).$$

Furthermore, for $f, g : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth maps with respective gradients given as $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f = (U, V)$ and $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} g = (U', V')$, the Poisson bracket is

$$(2.13) \quad \{f, g\}(p, Y) = \langle V', U \rangle - \langle V, U' \rangle + \langle j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})V'_{\mathfrak{v}}, V_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle.$$

3. THE GEODESIC FLOW

Let N denote a Lie group with tangent bundle TN . In the following paragraphs we will denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ both the left-invariant metric on N and the product metric on $TN \simeq N \times \mathfrak{n}$, that is, the product of the left-invariant metric on N and the inner product on $\mathfrak{n}$ induced by the left-invariant metric of N .

The energy function $E : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$E(p, Y) := \frac{1}{2} \langle Y, Y \rangle_p = \frac{1}{2} \langle Y, Y \rangle,$$

since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a left-invariant metric.

Notice that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dE_{(p,Y)}(U, V) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 E(p \exp(tU), tV + Y) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \frac{1}{2} (t^2 \langle V, V \rangle + 2t \langle Y, V \rangle + \langle Y, Y \rangle) \\ &= \langle Y, V \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The gradient field of the energy function $\text{grad } E$ is the vector field on TN implicitly defined by the equation

$$dE_{(p,Y)}(U, V) = \langle \text{grad}_{(p,Y)} E, (U, V) \rangle,$$

and thus the computations given in (3.1) imply

$$\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} E = (0, Y).$$

The *geodesic field* on TN is the Hamiltonian vector field of the energy function X_E . The Equality (2.8) for the energy function implies

$$X_E(p, Y) = (Y, \text{ad}^t(Y)Y),$$

and whenever N is 2-step nilpotent we get

$$(3.2) \quad X_E(p, Y) = (Y, j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})Y_{\mathfrak{v}}).$$

Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ denote a complete Riemannian manifold with tangent bundle TM . For each $v \in TM$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define $\Gamma^t(v) = \gamma'_v(t)$ the velocity at time t of the

unique geodesic with initial velocity v . The fact that M is complete implies that the geodesics of M are defined on $\mathbb{R}$. Thus for every $v \in T_p M$ the curve on TM given by $\Gamma^t(v)$ is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. One can check that $\Gamma^t \circ \Gamma^s = \Gamma^{t+s}$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. The geodesic vector field is taken as the vector field on TM with flow transformations $\{\Gamma^t\}$.

On the other hand one has the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field X_E in TM which is determined by the energy function $E : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, that is $E(v) = \frac{1}{2} \langle v, v \rangle$ for all $v \in TM$. Now the flow of X_E coincides with $\{\Gamma^t\}$. See for instance Section 5 in [8].

This also applies on a Lie group N endowed with a left-invariant Riemannian metric. Moreover in [7, 8] one can see the definition and properties of the geodesic flow in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ and the relationship between this with the geodesic flow defined above in terms of the Gauss map $G : TN \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$.

Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Riemannian manifold. The so called *first integrals* of the geodesic flow are the functions $f : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which Poisson commute with the energy function. This gives

$$0 = \{f, E\}(v) = df_v(X_E) = X_E(f) = \frac{d}{ds} f \circ \Gamma^s(v),$$

from which it is clear that first integrals are functions which are constant along geodesics.

First integrals for the geodesic flow can be constructed from Killing vector fields. In fact for a Killing vector field X^* on M , the function $f_{X^*} : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(3.3) \quad f_{X^*}(v) = \langle X^*, v \rangle$$

becomes a first integral of the geodesic flow. In fact if $\gamma(t)$ is a geodesic on M and ∇ denotes the Levi Civita connection, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle X^*(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle &= \langle \nabla_{\gamma'(t)} X^*, \gamma'(t) \rangle + \left\langle X^*(\gamma(t)), \frac{D}{dt} \gamma'(t) \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{\gamma'(t)} X^*, \gamma'(t) \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $(\nabla X^*)_{\gamma(t)}$ is skew-symmetric. So $\langle X^*(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle$ is constant, and this implies that $f_{X^*}(v) = \langle X(\pi(v)), v \rangle$, is constant along the integral curves of the geodesic flow.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold and let X^* be a Killing field on M . Then f_{X^*} is a first integral of the geodesic flow.*

In the setting of the previous section, let N denote a Lie group and let $f : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with gradient field $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)}(f) = (U, V)$. In view of the formulas in Lemma 2.3 the function f is a first integral of the geodesic flow if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Omega_{(p,Y)}(X_E, X_f) = \Omega_{(p,Y)}((Y, \text{ad}^t(Y)Y), (V, -U + \text{ad}^t(V)Y)) \\ (3.4) \quad &= \langle Y, -U + \text{ad}^t(V)Y \rangle - \langle \text{ad}^t(Y)Y, V \rangle + \langle Y, [Y, V] \rangle \\ &= \langle Y, -U + \text{ad}^t(V)Y \rangle - \langle \text{ad}^t(Y)Y, V \rangle + \langle \text{ad}^t(Y)Y, V \rangle \\ &= \langle Y, -U + \text{ad}^t(V)Y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ denote a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant metric. Then the smooth function $f : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with gradient*

$$\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f = (U, V)$$

is a first integral of the geodesic flow if and only if for all $(p, Y) \in TN$ it holds

$$(3.5) \quad \langle Y, U \rangle = \langle Y, [V, Y] \rangle.$$

In particular if N is 2-step nilpotent, then f is a first integral if and only if

$$\langle Y, U \rangle = \langle j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})V_{\mathfrak{v}}, Y_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle.$$

We shall say that $f : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *invariant* if $f(p, Y) = f(g \cdot p, Y)$ for all $g, p \in N$, $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$, that is, f is invariant under the left-action of N into TN .

We make use of the Equation (3.5) to find invariant first integrals on Lie groups.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Lie group endowed with a left-invariant metric and let TN denote its tangent bundle with the product metric.*

- *Let $f_{Z_0} : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by*

$$f_{Z_0}(p, Y) = \langle Y, Z_0 \rangle.$$

Then f_{Z_0} is a first integral of the geodesic flow for all $Z_0 \in \mathfrak{z}$. Moreover, the family $\{f_{Z_i} : Z_i \in \mathfrak{z}\}$ is a commutative family of first integrals.

- *Let $A : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ be a symmetric endomorphism of $\mathfrak{n}$ and let*

$$g_A(p, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Y, AY \rangle.$$

Then g_A is a first integral of the geodesic flow if and only if

$$0 = \langle Y, [AY, Y] \rangle.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)}(f_{Z_0}) = (0, Z_0)$ and so the Hamiltonian vector field is $X_{f_{Z_0}} = (Z_0, 0)$. It follows from Equality (3.5) that f_{Z_0} is a first integral of the geodesic flow. Moreover,

$$\Omega_{(p,Y)}(X_{f_{Z_0}}, X_{f_{Z_1}}) = \Omega_{(p,Y)}((Z_0, 0), (Z_1, 0)) = \langle Y, [Z_0, Z_1] \rangle = 0,$$

which completes the proof of the first item.

We will deal now with quadratic first integrals of the geodesic flow.

Let $A : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ denote a symmetric endomorphism of $\mathfrak{n}$ and let define

$$g_A(p, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Y, AY \rangle.$$

An elementary calculation gives

$$dg_A|_{(p,Y)}(U, V) = \langle AY, V \rangle$$

and hence

$$\text{grad}_{(p,Y)}(g_A) = (0, AY).$$

Then by Equation (3.5), we have that g_A is a first integral of the geodesic flow if and only if

$$0 = \langle Y, [AY, Y] \rangle. \quad \square$$

Remark 3.4. The last equality says that for A symmetric on a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra, the Poisson commutativity of g_A with the energy function depends essentially on the restriction of A to $\mathfrak{v}$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(N, \langle, \rangle)$ denote a 2-step nilpotent Lie group endowed with a left-invariant metric and let TN denote its tangent bundle with the product metric. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the Lie algebra of N . Let $A : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ denote a symmetric map with respect to $\langle, \rangle_{\mathfrak{v}}$ and let $g_A : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by*

$$g_A(p, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Y, AY \rangle,$$

where $AZ = 0$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$. Then

- (i) g_A is a first integral of the geodesic flow if and only if for any basis $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_m\}$ of $\mathfrak{z}$ one has

$$[J(Z_i), A] = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

- (ii) Let g_A and g_B be a pair of first integrals, then they Poisson commute if and only if

$$j(Z_i)AB = j(Z_i)BA \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

In particular if $\mathfrak{n}$ is non-singular, then

$$\{g_A, g_B\} = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad [A, B] = 0.$$

Proof. (i) Proposition 3.3 says that g_A is a first integral if and only if for $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$ one has $0 = \langle j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})AY_{\mathfrak{v}}, Y_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle$ which for a basis $\{Z_i\}$ of $\mathfrak{z}$ is equivalent to

$$(3.6) \quad 0 = \langle j(Z_i)AY_{\mathfrak{v}}, Y_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle.$$

In fact for the proof of $(\Rightarrow)$ take $Y = V + Z_i$ with $V \in \mathfrak{v}$ to get (3.6). The converse is clear since $j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}}) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i j(Z_i)$ for $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_m\}$ a basis of $\mathfrak{z}$.

Now take $Y_{\mathfrak{z}} = V + V' \in \mathfrak{v}$ and write

$$0 = \langle j(Z_i)A(V + V'), V + V' \rangle = \langle j(Z_i)AV, V' \rangle - \langle Aj(Z_i)V, V' \rangle$$

which implies that $j(Z_i)A = Aj(Z_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

- (ii) Assume that g_A, g_B is a pair of first integrals of the geodesic flow (so that they satisfy (i) above). Then Lemma 2.3 says that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \{g_A, g_B\} = \langle Y, [AY, BY] \rangle \\ &= \langle j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})AY_{\mathfrak{v}}, BY_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle \\ &= \langle Bj(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})AY_{\mathfrak{v}}, Y_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Firstly as in the proof of (i) the last equality is equivalent to $0 = \langle Bj(Z_i)AY_{\mathfrak{v}}, Y_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Also as above $Bj(Z_i)A$ must be skew symmetric so that

$$(Bj(Z_i)A)^t = -Aj(Z_i)B = -Bj(Z_i)A \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Since the functions g_A, g_B are first integrals one has

$$j(Z_i)AB = j(Z_i)BA$$

which finally implies the statement. The last assertion follows from the last equality. $\square$

Let N be a Lie group of dimension n . We shall say that the geodesic flow is completely *integrable* if there exists n smooth functions $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n : TN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- the gradients of $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ are linearly independent on an open dense subset of TN ;
- $0 = \{f_i, f_k\} = \{f_i, E\}$ for all $i, k = 1, \dots, n$.

We now consider a sufficient non-integrability criterion by Butler [5]:

Definition 3.6. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra.

- (i) For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}^*$, let $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda := \{X \in \mathfrak{n} / \text{ad}^*(X)\lambda = 0\}$.
- (ii) A $\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}^*$ is called regular if $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda$ has minimal dimension.
- (iii) $\mathfrak{n}$ is called non-integrable if there exists a dense open subset $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathfrak{n}^* \times \mathfrak{n}^*$ such that for each $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{W}$, both λ and μ are regular and $[\mathfrak{n}_\lambda, \mathfrak{n}_\mu]$ has positive dimension.

In view of the metric on $\mathfrak{n}$ any element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}^*$ can be realized in the form $\lambda = \langle V + Z, \cdot \rangle$ for unique $V \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$. By identifying $\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}^* \longleftrightarrow V + Z \in \mathfrak{n}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n}_{V+Z} &= \{X \in \mathfrak{n} : \langle V + Z, \text{ad}(X)\cdot \rangle = 0\} \\ &= \{X \in \mathfrak{n} : \langle V + Z, \text{ad}(X)(V' + Z') \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } V' + Z' \in \mathfrak{n}\} \\ &= \{X \in \mathfrak{n} : \langle Z, [X, V'] \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } V' \in \mathfrak{v}\}, \end{aligned}$$

from which it is clear that $\mathfrak{z} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}^*$. Moreover if we denote $X = \tilde{V} + \tilde{Z}$ then

$$\tilde{V} + \tilde{Z} \in \mathfrak{n}_{V+Z} \Leftrightarrow \langle j(Z)\tilde{V}, \cdot \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle \tilde{V}, j(Z)V' \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } V' \in \mathfrak{v}.$$

Hence if $\mathfrak{n}$ is non-singular then $\tilde{V} = 0$ since $\text{Im } j(Z) = \mathfrak{v}$. In this situation $\mathfrak{n}_{V+Z}$ has minimal dimension for $Z \neq 0$ and $[\mathfrak{n}_\lambda, \mathfrak{n}_\mu] = 0$. One gets the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a non-singular 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra, then $\mathfrak{n}$ cannot be a non-integrable Lie algebra.*

Thus non-singular Lie algebras are candidate to have a completely integrable geodesic flow in view of the next result.

Theorem ([5], Theorem 1.3). *Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a non-integrable 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with associated simply connected Lie group N . Assume that there exists a discrete cocompact subgroup Λ of N . Then for any such Λ and any left-invariant metric g on N , the geodesic flow of $(\Lambda \backslash N, g)$ is not completely integrable.*

An example of a non-singular Lie algebra is the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ corresponding to the Heisenberg Lie group H_n . We shall see in the next section that the geodesic flow on any quotient $\Lambda \backslash H_n$ is completely integrable for any induced left-invariant metric.

Remark 3.8. Topological obstructions to integrability on two-dimensional surfaces were given in [12, 14]. In any dimension on non-simply-connected manifolds by Taimanov [19].

4. ON THE FIRST INTEGRALS OF THE GEODESIC FLOW ON THE HEISENBERG MANIFOLDS

A Riemannian *Heisenberg manifold* is a compact manifold given as a quotient $\Lambda \backslash H_n$ where H_n denotes the Heisenberg Lie group of dimension $2n + 1$ and $\Lambda < H_n$ is a discrete cocompact subgroup. This notation coincides with that one in [11].

The Heisenberg Lie group H_n is the simply connected Lie group constructed over the smooth space $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ together with the multiplication map:

$$(v, z)(v', z') = \left(v + v', z + z' - \frac{1}{2}v^T J v' \right) \quad v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, z \in \mathbb{R},$$

where J is the real linear map representing the multiplication by i once we identify $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with $\mathbb{C}^n$. It is clear that a basis of left-invariant vector fields is given for $k = 1, \dots, n$ by

$$Z_1(p) = \partial_z, \quad X_{2k-1}(p) = \partial_{x_{2k-1}} - \frac{1}{2}p_{2k}\partial_z, \quad X_{2k}(p) = \partial_{x_{2k}} + \frac{1}{2}p_{2k-1}\partial_z,$$

where x_i are the canonical coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and with ∂_y we denote the partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. So any left-invariant vector field U on H_n has the form

$$U(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} a_i X_i + c Z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} a_i \partial_{x_i} + \left(c + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (-a_{2i-1} p_{2i} + a_{2i} p_{2i-1}) \right) \partial_z.$$

Note that $\sum_{i=1}^n (-a_{2i-1} p_{2i} + a_{2i} p_{2i-1}) = \omega(P, A)$ where ω is the canonical symplectic form of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{2n})$, $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n})$.

Let $\langle, \rangle$ be the inner product on $\mathfrak{h}_n$ which turns the set $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2n}, Z_1\}$ into an orthonormal basis. In canonical coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ we get the following metric:

$$dz^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} [(-1)^{k+1} y_k dz dx_k + (1 + \frac{1}{4} y_k^2) dx_k^2] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \neq s, 1}^{2n} (-1)^{k+s} y_k y_s dx_k dx_s$$

$$\text{where } y_t = \begin{cases} x_{2l} & \text{for } t = 2l - 1 \\ x_{2l-1} & \text{for } t = 2l. \end{cases}$$

We also denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the left-invariant metric on H_n . Then the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ gives a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z} \quad \text{as orthogonal sum of vector subspaces,}$$

where $\mathfrak{v}$ is the subspace spanned by $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{R}Z_1$ is the center of $\mathfrak{h}_n$. For a generic left-invariant vector field U denote by $U_{\mathfrak{v}}$ and $U_{\mathfrak{z}}$ the respective projections onto $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{z}$. One can see that the integral curve of U at the point $p = (p_v, p_z)$ is given by

$$\gamma_p(s) = (p_v + sU_{\mathfrak{v}}, p_z + sU_{\mathfrak{z}} - \frac{1}{2}s p_v^T J U_{\mathfrak{v}})$$

via natural identifications at the corresponding vector spaces.

It is clear that the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{h}_n \rightarrow H_n$ is given by

$$\exp(U) = U$$

via identifications: at the left-side U is given in terms of a left-invariant basis, while at the right side the vector $U \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ is given in canonical coordinates.

Under the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{H}_n)$ the set of left-invariant vector fields give rise to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ called the Heisenberg Lie algebra whose basis $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2n}, Z_1\}$ satisfies the non-zero Lie bracket relations

$$[X_{2i-1}, X_{2i}] = Z_1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

so that for $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$, the skew-symmetric endomorphism $j(Z) \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$ as in (2.10) takes the form

$$j(Z) = \langle Z, Z_1 \rangle J$$

where $J := j(Z_1)$ has the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 & -1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the basis $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2n}\}$ of $\mathfrak{v}$.

Since the dimension of the center of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ satisfies $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}_n) = 1$, we can only define one independent linear first integral for the geodesic flow:

$$f_{Z_1}(p, Y) = \langle Y, Z_1 \rangle.$$

As we said before, we have that

$$\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f_{Z_1} = (0, Z_1), \quad X_{f_{Z_1}}(p, Y) = (Z_1, 0).$$

As known, the isometry group of a simply connected nilmanifold M is the semidirect product $\text{Iso}(M) = K \ltimes N$ where N is the nilradical of the isometry group which acts simply and transitively on M . Naturally M can be identified with N so that the homogeneous metric on M turns into a left-invariant metric on N . Moreover the isotropy subgroup K consists of isometric automorphisms. See [22] for more details.

Let K be the subgroup of isometric automorphisms of the Heisenberg Lie group $\mathbf{H}_n$, its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{k} = \{B \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{v}}) \mid [J, B] = 0\},$$

see for instance [15].

It is clear that the action of $\mathbf{H}_n$ on itself by isometries is given by the translations on the left.

Let us search for the quadratic homogeneous polynomials which are first integrals of the geodesic flow. For a symmetric map $A : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ the quadratic polynomial given by

$$g_A(p, Y) = \langle AY, Y \rangle$$

is a first integral of the geodesic flow on the Heisenberg Lie group $\mathbf{H}_n$ if

$$[J, A] = 0 \quad \text{by Theorem 3.5.}$$

Take $A : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ a symmetric map such that $[J, A] = 0$ then the map given as $B := JA \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{v}})$ also satisfies

$$[J, B] = 0$$

so that B belongs to the isometry algebra of H_n . In fact, as explained above $Iso(H_n) = K \ltimes H_n$ where K denotes the isotropy subgroup consisting of isometric automorphisms. Its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{iso}(H_n) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_n$ where $\mathfrak{k}$ is the Lie algebra of K and it consists of skew-symmetric derivations, see (4.1).

Conversely take $B \in \mathfrak{k}$ and define a symmetric map $A : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ by $A := JB$. Then g_A is a first integral of the geodesic flow.

Theorem 4.1. *There is a bijection ψ between the set of quadratic first integrals of the geodesic flow and the Lie subalgebra of skew-symmetric derivations of $\mathfrak{h}_n$ given by*

$$(4.2) \quad A \longrightarrow \psi(A) := JA$$

so that $\{g_{A_1}, g_{A_2}\} = 0$ if and only if $[\psi(A_1), \psi(A_2)] = 0$ in $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{v}})$.

Remark 4.2. Note that ψ is not a Lie algebra morphism.

Remark 4.3. The Gauss map $G : TH_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_n$ is an anti Poisson map. The metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{h}_n$ define a Poisson structure on the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$. For the energy function on $\mathfrak{h}_n$ given by $\bar{E}(v) = \frac{1}{2}\langle v, v \rangle$ one also has its Hamiltonian vector field called the geodesic vector field, which corresponds in a natural way to the geodesic flow means of the Gauss map $G : TH_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_n$. In fact if f is an invariant function on TH_n which corresponds to a function $\bar{f} : \mathfrak{h}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then $G(X_f(p, v)) = -X_{\bar{f}}(v)$ where X_f denotes the Hamiltonian vector field for f while $X_{\bar{f}}$ for $\bar{f}$. If Γ^t denotes the geodesic flow for X_E and $\bar{\Gamma}^t$ the flow of $X_{\bar{E}}$ then $G \circ \Gamma^{-t} = \bar{\Gamma}^t \circ G$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. See [8] for more details. A formula for geodesics on the Heisenberg Lie group can be found in [6].

The coadjoint orbits on the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ were described in [16] were making use of the Adler-Kostant-Symes scheme they were used for a study of the motion of n harmonic oscillators near an equilibrium position.

From the result above it is clear that to find the symmetric maps $S : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ such that $[J, S] = 0$ is equivalent to determine the skew-symmetric maps $T \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$ such that $[J, T] = 0$. Choose $X_1, X_3, \dots, X_{2n-1}, X_2, X_4, \dots, X_{2n}$ the ordered basis of $\mathfrak{v}$ and write T and J in this basis. A general matrix $T \in \mathfrak{so}(2n)$ of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ -Q_2^T & Q_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $Q_1, Q_3 \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$ real submatrices of T , commute with J

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$[J, T] = 0$ if and only if $Q_1 \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$, $Q_1 = Q_3$ and $Q_2 = Q_2^T$.

Take the quadratic first integrals given by the symmetric linear maps $A_i : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ which correspond to

$$(4.3) \quad A_i Y = \langle Y, X_{2i-1} \rangle X_{2i-1} + \langle Y, X_{2i} \rangle X_{2i}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

It is not hard to prove that $[J, A_i] = 0$ and $[A_i, A_j] = 0$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, which gives $\{g_{A_i}, g_{A_j}\}(p, Y) = 0$ by Theorem 3.5.

Note that the first integrals f_{Z_1}, g_{A_i} ($i \geq 0$), are algebraic, that is invariant under the action of H_n on $TH_n \simeq H_n \times \mathfrak{h}_n$.

Recall that Killing vector fields built the Lie algebra of the isometry group of a given homogeneous nilmanifold. So for the Heisenberg Lie group H_n we have

$$Iso(H_n) = K \ltimes H_n$$

where H_n is the normal subgroup of $Iso(H_n)$ corresponding to the translations on the left by elements of H_n , K is the subgroup of orthogonal automorphisms and the action of K on H_n is given by the evaluation map.

Let $T \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$ such that $[J, T] = 0$ and ρ_T be the automorphism of H_n given by

$$\rho_T(p) = (e^T p_v, z)$$

where p_v is the projection of p onto $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and e^B is the usual exponential map: $e^B = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} B^k$. Since ρ_T is a group homomorphism the fact that it is an isometry at the identity element implies that ρ_T is an isometry of H_n .

For this isometry the corresponding Killing vector field computed as

$$X_T^*(p) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_0 \rho_T(p) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_0 (e^{sT} p_v, z)$$

is given by

$$(4.4) \quad X_T^*(p) = TW_{\mathfrak{v}} - \frac{1}{2} \langle TW_{\mathfrak{v}}, JW_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle Z_1$$

where $T \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$, so that $\exp W = p$ for the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{h}_n \rightarrow H_n$ and $W_{\mathfrak{v}}$ denotes the projection of W onto $\mathfrak{v}$ with respect to the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$.

The Killing vector fields which generate $\mathfrak{h}_n$ as subalgebra of the isometry algebra corresponds to the right-invariant vector fields given by

$$Z_1^*(p) = \partial_z, \quad X_{2i-1}^*(p) = \partial_{x_{2i-1}} + \frac{1}{2} p_{2i} \partial_z, \quad X_{2i}^*(p) = \partial_{x_{2i}} + \frac{1}{2} p_{2i-1} \partial_z.$$

Explicitely, for X_k^* with, $k = 0, \dots, 2n$, corresponding to the left-translations isometries, we get the next first integrals - see (3.3):

$$F_{2i-1}(p, Y) = \langle X_{2i-1} + \langle W_{\mathfrak{v}}, X_{2i} \rangle Z_1, Y \rangle \quad \text{for } X_{2i-1}^*, i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$F_{2i}(p, Y) = \langle X_{2i} - \langle W_{\mathfrak{v}}, X_{2i-1} \rangle Z_1, Y \rangle \quad \text{for } X_{2i}^*, i = 1, \dots, n$$

which for $k = 1, \dots, 2n$ can be rewritten as

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} F_k(p, Y) &= \langle Y - J(Y_{\mathfrak{z}}) W_{\mathfrak{v}}, X_k \rangle \\ &= \langle Y, X_k \rangle - \langle J(Y_{\mathfrak{z}}) W_{\mathfrak{v}}, X_k \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

while for Z_1^* one has the function

$$f_{Z_1}(p, Y) = \langle Z_1, Y \rangle$$

which was introduced in the previous section, see Proposition 3.3.

For the Killing vector field X_T^* corresponding to an element T of the isotropy subgroup $K \subset Iso(H_n)$ one has the first integral

$$(4.6) \quad F_T(p, Y) = \langle TW_{\mathfrak{v}}, Y_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle AW_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle \langle Z_1, Y \rangle$$

where A is the symmetric map of the bijection (4.2) for $T = JA$.

For these functions one gets the following gradient vector fields

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{grad}_{(p,Y)} F_T &= (-\langle Z_1, Y \rangle AW_{\mathfrak{v}} - TY_{\mathfrak{v}}, TW_{\mathfrak{v}} - \frac{1}{2} \langle AW_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle Z_1) \\ \text{grad}_{(p,Y)} F_k &= (\langle Y, Z_1 \rangle JX_k, X_k + \langle W, JX_k \rangle Z_1) \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, 2n \\ \text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f_{Z_1} &= (0, Z_1) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the gradients of f_{Z_1}, F_k for $k = 1, \dots, 2n$ are linearly independent.

The formula in (2.13) says that for $j, k \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ the Poisson bracket is given by

$$(4.8) \quad \{F_j, F_k\}(p, Y) = \langle Y, Z_1 \rangle \langle X_k, JX_j \rangle$$

so that the only non-trivial brackets are

$$(4.9) \quad \{F_{2i-1}, F_{2i}\} = f_{Z_1}.$$

Let ρ_{T_a}, ρ_{T_b} denote a pair of isometries as above with $T_a = JA$, $T_b = JB$. Recall that $T_a, T_b \in \mathfrak{so}(v)$ and $0 = [J, T_i] = [J, T_j]$. Take the corresponding functions F_{T_i}, F_{T_j} as in (4.6) with corresponding gradient vector fields $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} F_{T_i} = (U, V)$ and $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} F_{T_j} = (U', V')$. Then by Lemma 2.3 one has

$$\{F_{T_a}, F_{T_b}\}(p, Y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } \langle V', U \rangle - \langle V, U' \rangle + \langle Y, [V', V] \rangle = 0.$$

In particular for (p, Z_1) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \{F_{T_a}, F_{T_b}\}(p, Y) &= -\langle T_b W_{\mathfrak{v}}, AW_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle + \langle T_a W_{\mathfrak{v}}, BW_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle + \langle JT_b W_{\mathfrak{v}}, T_a W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle \\ &= -\langle JABW_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle + \langle JBAW_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle + \langle J^2 BW_{\mathfrak{v}}, JAW_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle \\ &= -\langle JABW_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle + \langle JBAW_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle + \langle JABW_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so that as in the proof of Theorem 3.5 $\{F_{T_a}, F_{T_b}\}(p, Y) = 0$ implies $[A, B] = 0$ for $T_a = JA, T_b = JB$.

Conversely it is not hard to see that $\{F_{T_a}, F_{T_b}\}(p, Y) = 0$ whenever $[A, B] = 0$ for all (p, Y) .

Lemma 4.4. *Let $T_a = JA$ and $T_b = JB$ denote skew symmetric derivations. Let $F_{T_a}, F_{T_b} : TH_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functions defined above. It holds*

$$\{F_{T_a}, F_{T_b}\}(p, Y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } [A, B] = 0.$$

Moreover, if $[A, B] = 0$ then $\{F_{T_a}, g_B\}(p, Y) = 0$ whenever A, B are symmetric maps.

Making use of (4.7) the other Poisson brackets at every point (p, Y) for $p = \exp W$, satisfy

- $\{F_{T_a}, g_B\}(p, Y) = \langle JABY_{\mathfrak{v}}, Y_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle$ for $T_a = JA$.

- Let $T_a = JA$, $T_b = JB$ for A, B symmetric.

$$\begin{aligned}\{F_{T_a}, F_{T_b}\}(p, Y) &= \langle [T_a, T_b]W_{\mathfrak{v}}, Y_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle + \langle Y, Z_1 \rangle \langle JBAW_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle \\ &= \langle [T_a, T_b]W_{\mathfrak{v}}, Y_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle + \langle Y, Z_1 \rangle \frac{1}{2} \langle (JBA - JAB)W_{\mathfrak{v}}, W_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle \\ &= F_{[T_a, T_b]}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\frac{1}{2}(JAB - JBA)$ is the symmetric part of JAB .

- $\{F_T, F_k\}(p, Y) = F_k(p, TY_{\mathfrak{v}} + Y_3)$, for $k = 1, \dots, 2n$.
- $\{f_{Z_1}, F_i\} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $A_i : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ the symmetric maps as in (4.3) and let $T_i = JA_i$ the corresponding skew-symmetric maps. Then from the equations of the gradients above one can see that F_{T_i}, g_{A_j} is a set of $2n$ linearly independent functions on an open dense subset of TH_n .

Note that the set $\{JA_i\}$ correspond to a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ which in particular gives an abelian subalgebra of skew-symmetric derivations.

We are now in conditions to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *The geodesic flow on TH_n is completely integrable in the sense of Liouville. In fact the set*

$$\mathcal{G} = \{E\} \cup \{g_{A_i}\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{F_{T_k}\}_{k=1}^n$$

gives a family of commuting first integrals of the geodesic flow.

The sets

(1)

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f_{Z_1}\} \cup \{g_{A_i}\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{F_{2k-1}\}_{k=1}^n$$

(2) and

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{f_{Z_1}\} \cup \{g_{A_i}\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{F_{2k}\}_{k=1}^n$$

give two independent commuting families of first integrals of the geodesic flow.

Proof. Lemma 4.4 says that

- $\{F_{T_i}, F_{T_j}\} = 0$ and $\{F_{T_i}, g_{A_j}\} = 0$ for $T_i = JA_i$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$ and
- $\{g_{A_i}, g_{A_j}\} = 0$ since $[A_i, A_j] = 0$,

and since all of them are first integrals the fact that this is a completely integrable set follows from the condition of linearly independence of the set on a open dense subset of TH_n .

For the other families by making use of the information already given we have that

- $\{F_{2k-1}, F_{2j-1}\} = 0 = \{F_{2k}, F_{2j}\}$ for all $k, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $k \neq j$.

We should compute the other Poisson brackets.

$$\begin{aligned}\{f_{Z_1}, g_{A_i}\}(p, Y) &= \langle Z_1, j(Y_3)A_iY \rangle + \langle Y, [Z_1, A_iY] \rangle = 0, \\ \{g_{A_i}, F_{2j-1}\}(p, Y) &= -\langle j(Y_3)A_iY, X_{2j-1} \rangle + \langle Y, [A_iY, X_{2j-1}] \rangle = 0, \\ \{g_{A_i}, F_{2k}\}(p, Y) &= -\langle j(Y_3)A_iY, X_{2k} \rangle + \langle Y, [A_iY, X_{2k}] \rangle = 0,\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Remark 4.6. It turns out that the families given in [4] are of the same type as the families in $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ above. While the first integrals F_{T_j} are new.

Notice that in the family $\mathcal{G}$ all the first integrals are obtained from the Lie algebra of the isotropy subgroup $K \subset Iso(H_n)$.

Remark 4.7. In the simply connected case we are working on all the first integrals introduced in Theorem 4.5 are analytic. As we shall see later, this cannot be achieved for compact quotients of H_n .

The Poisson brackets of the functions $\{F_T, F_k, f_{Z_1}\}$ for $T \in \mathfrak{k}$, F_k , $k = 1, \dots, 2n$ give the proof of the next result.

Theorem 4.8. *The linear morphism between the Killing vector fields on H_n and its image in $C^\infty(TH_n)$ given by*

$$X^* \longrightarrow f_{X^*}$$

as in (3.3) builds a Lie algebra isomorphism between the isometry Lie algebra of H_n and its image equipped with the Poisson bracket.

Proof. In fact, a Killing vector field X^* can be written as $X^* = X_T^* + X_U^*$ for $T + U \in \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_n$. Moreover $U(p) = V(p) + zZ_1^*$ and $V(p) = \sum_k s_k X_k^*(p)$. Thus

$$f_{X^*}(p, Y) = F_T(p, Y) + \sum_k s_k F_k(p, Y) + z f_{Z_1}(p, Y)$$

so that $X^* \rightarrow f_{X^*}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. It is injective: in fact assume

$$(4.10) \quad 0 = F_T(p, Y) + \sum_k s_k F_k(p, Y) + z f_{Z_1}(p, Y) \quad \text{for all } (p, Y).$$

By taking $p = \exp Z_1$, $Y = Z_1$ one gets $z = 0$.

Take $Y = Z_1$ so that $F_T(p, Y) = -\frac{1}{2}\langle AW_v, W_v \rangle$ and $F_k(p, Z_1) = -\langle JW_v, X_k \rangle$. Thus equality (4.10) becomes

$$0 = -\frac{1}{2}\langle AW_v, W_v \rangle - \sum_k s_k \langle JW_v, X_k \rangle.$$

If $\langle AX_j, X_j \rangle = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ then $A = 0$ and so $F_T = 0$. Choosing $W = X_l$ one gets $0 = \sum_k s_k \langle JX_l, X_k \rangle = \pm s_l$ which says $s_l = 0$ for every l .

If $\langle AX_j, X_j \rangle \neq 0$ then there is j such that $a_{jj} = \langle AX_j, X_j \rangle \neq 0$. Take $W = tX_j$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then (4.10) becomes $0 = -\frac{1}{2}a_{jj}t^2 - \pm s_j t = t(-\frac{1}{2}ta_{jj} - \pm s_j)$ which should hold for every t . The sign $\pm$ depends on the parity of j . Thus $a_{jj} = 0$ and $s_j = 0$ for all j . And $X^* \rightarrow f_{X^*}$ is injective onto its image. $\square$

So far we know this is the first example among nilpotent Lie groups of this isomorphism. The question appeared in [21]. It could be interesting to know if there are more examples of this situation among nilpotent Lie groups and if is there a relationship with the complete integrability of the geodesic flow.

Remark 4.9. The metric considered in this section make of N a naturally reductive Riemannian space. That means that there exists a Lie group of isometries G acting transitively on N such that its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ splits as $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ where $\mathfrak{h}$ represents

the Lie algebra of the isotropy subgroup and $\mathfrak{m}$ is a $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant complement such that

$$\langle x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle + \langle y, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

The Heisenberg Lie group does not correspond to the examples in [21].

4.1. Riemannian Heisenberg manifolds. Let H_n the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group endowed with the standard Riemannian metric, which is defined in the beginning of this section. For each n -tuple $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$ such that $r_1 \mid r_2 \mid \dots \mid r_n$, we define

$$(4.11) \quad \Lambda_r = \{(v, z) : v = (x, y) \text{ with } x \in r\mathbb{Z}^n, y \in 2\mathbb{Z}^n, z \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in r\mathbb{Z}^n$ means that $x_i \in r_i\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

It follows from [11] that this family classifies the cocompact discrete subgroups of H_n up to isomorphism. This is explained in the following remark.

Remark 4.10. In several works the Heisenberg Lie group is defined started with the triangular $(2n+2) \times (2n+2)$ real matrices of the form

$$\gamma(x, y, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & t \\ 0 & I_n & y^T \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The map Φ defined as $\Phi(\gamma(x, y, t)) = (x, y, t - \frac{1}{2}x \cdot y)$ gives an isomorphism with the Heisenberg Lie group defined above in the first paragraphs of this section. In [11] it is proved that any lattice on the Heisenberg group, with this matrix presentation, is isomorphic to one of the form

$$\Gamma_r = \{\gamma(x, y, t) : x \in r\mathbb{Z}^n, y \in \mathbb{Z}^n, t \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$ is such that $r_1 \mid \dots \mid r_n$. Moreover, Γ_r is isomorphic to Γ_s if and only if $r = s$. Recall that, $\Phi^{-1}(\Lambda_r) \subset \Gamma_r$. This contention is strict, but one can still prove that Λ_r is isomorphic to Γ_r . For the sake of completeness we include the proof of this fact in the following remark.

Remark 4.11. Recall that the center $Z(\Gamma_r)$ of Γ_r is $\{\gamma(0, 0, t) : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for all r . So $Z(\Gamma_r)$ is cyclic with two generators $\gamma(0, 0, 1)$ and $\gamma(0, 0, -1)$. As it follows from [11], for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have that

$$\gamma(r_i e_i, 0, 0) \gamma(0, e_i, 0) \gamma(r_i e_i, 0, 0)^{-1} \gamma(0, e_i, 0)^{-1} = \gamma(0, 0, 1)^{r_i},$$

and for all $s \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$ such that $s_1 \mid \dots \mid s_n$, $s \neq r$, there are no elements $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{2n} \in \Gamma_s$ such that

$$\gamma_{2i-1} \gamma_{2i} \gamma_{2i-1}^{-1} \gamma_{2i}^{-1} = \gamma(0, 0, \pm 1)^{r_i}.$$

This shows, in particular, that Γ_r is not isomorphic to Γ_s if $r \neq s$. Now, from the classification theorem in [11], we have that Λ_r is isomorphic to Γ_s for some s . But

$$(r_i e_i, 0, 0) (0, 2e_i, 0) (-r_i e_i, 0, 0) (0, -2e_i, 0) = (0, 0, r_i) = (0, 0, 1)^{r_i},$$

where $(0, 0, 1)$ is one of the two generators of $Z(\Lambda_r)$. Therefore, Λ_r is isomorphic to Γ_r .

Let Λ_r be as defined in (4.11) and let assume that $H_n, \Lambda_r \backslash H_n$ are endowed with the standard metric. Since the quotient projection $\pi : H_n \rightarrow \Lambda_r \backslash H_n$ is a Riemannian submersion and furthermore a local isometry, we can identify the tangent bundle of $\Lambda \backslash H_n$ with $(\Lambda_r \backslash H_n) \times \mathfrak{h}_n$. The projection π maps geodesics into geodesics and the energy function $\tilde{E}$ of $T(\Lambda_r \backslash H_n)$ is related to the energy function E of TH_n by

$$\tilde{E}(\Lambda_r p, Y) = E(p, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Y, Y \rangle$$

and this does not depend on the given representative.

Since the integrals f_{Z_1}, g_{A_i} of the geodesic flow of TH_n , as given in Theorem 4.5 do not depend on the first coordinate, they descend to first integrals

$$\tilde{f}_{Z_1}(\Lambda_r p, Y) = f_{Z_1}(p, Y), \quad \tilde{g}_{A_i}(\Lambda_r p, Y) = g_{A_i}(p, Y)$$

of the geodesic flow of $T(\Lambda_r \backslash H_n)$. Moreover, such first integrals are in involution, since for all $f, g \in C^\infty(T(\Lambda_r \backslash H_n))$ we have

$$\{f \circ \pi, g \circ \pi\} = \{f, g\} \circ \pi.$$

Note that the integrals $F_k, k = 1, \dots, 2n$, from Theorem 4.5 do not descend to the quotient. However, let $(p, Y) \in TH_n$ and $q \in \Lambda_r$, say $p = (x, y, z)$ and $q = (x', y', z')$, with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R}, x' \in r\mathbb{Z}^n, y' \in 2\mathbb{Z}^n$ and $z' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Take $W, W', W'' \in \mathfrak{h}_n$ such that $\exp W = p, \exp W' = q$ and $\exp W'' = qp$. Observe that $W''_{\mathfrak{v}} = W_{\mathfrak{v}} + W'_{\mathfrak{v}}$. Thus one has

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(qp, Y) &= \langle Y, X_k \rangle - \langle Y, Z_1 \rangle \langle J(W'_{\mathfrak{v}} + W_{\mathfrak{v}}), X_k \rangle \\ &= F_k(p, Y) - f_{Z_1}(p, Y) \langle JW'_{\mathfrak{v}}, X_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle JW'_{\mathfrak{v}}, X_k \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that

$$F_k(qp, Y) = F_k(p, Y) \mod f_{Z_1}(p, Y)\mathbb{Z}$$

and since f_{Z_1} is a first integral of the geodesic flow we have that the function

$$\hat{F}_k(p, Y) = \sin \left(2\pi \frac{F_k(p, Y)}{f_{Z_1}(p, Y)} \right)$$

descends to $\Lambda_r \backslash H_n$ and is constant along the integral curves of the geodesic vector field in $T(\Lambda_r \backslash H_n)$. In order to get a smooth first integral let

$$\bar{F}_k(p, Y) = e^{-1/f_{Z_1}(p, Y)^2} \hat{F}_k(p, Y)$$

and let us define

$$\tilde{F}_k(\Lambda_r p, Y) = \bar{F}_k(p, Y).$$

So the functions $\tilde{F}_k$ are smooth (non-analytic) first integrals for the geodesic flow on $T(\Lambda_r \backslash H_n)$. It follows from a direct calculation that the families $f_{Z_1}, g_{A_i}, \bar{F}_{2k-1}$ and $f_{Z_1}, g_{A_i}, \bar{F}_{2k}, i, k = 1, \dots, n$ are in involution. So the geodesic flow in $T(\Lambda_r \backslash H_n)$ is completely integrable in the sense of Liouville.

Corollary 4.12. *Let H_n be the Heisenberg Lie group endowed with the standard metric, and let Λ_r defined as in (4.11). If $\Lambda_r \backslash H_n$ is the corresponding Heisenberg manifold, then the geodesic flow in $T(\Lambda_r \backslash H_n)$ is completely integrable with smooth first integrals.*

5. THE CASE OF A GENERAL LEFT-INVARIANT METRIC ON H_n

In this section we show how to construct first integrals for the geodesic flow on TH_n with arbitrary left-invariant metrics on H_n . Recall that any left invariant metric g on H_n is isometric to one of the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$ defined as follows. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the standard inner product on $\mathfrak{h}_n$ and let P be a symmetric positive definite operator on $\mathfrak{h}_n$, with respect to the standard inner product, which has the matrix form

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{P} & \\ & \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\tilde{P} : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ is symmetric and positive definite and $\lambda > 0$. We can think of $\tilde{P}$ as a symmetric matrix with positive eigenvalues. The metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$ is defined in $\mathfrak{h}_n$ by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_P = \langle PX, Y \rangle.$$

Let $j_P(Z)$ be the $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$ -skew-symmetric operator such that

$$\langle j_P(Z)X, Y \rangle_P = \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle_P.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle_P &= \langle [X, Y], PZ \rangle = \langle [X, Y], \lambda Z \rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle j(Z)X, Y \rangle = \lambda \langle PP^{-1}j(Z)X, Y \rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle P^{-1}j(Z)X, Y \rangle_P. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *With the assumption and notation of this section, we have that $\lambda j(Z) = \tilde{P}j_P(Z)$, or equivalently, $j_P(Z) = \lambda \tilde{P}^{-1}j(Z)$, for all $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$.*

We also need the next result.

Lemma 5.2. *If $f : TH_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f$, $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)}^P f$ are gradients of f with respect to the metrics on TH_n induced by the standard metric and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$ respectively, then*

$$\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f = P \text{grad}_{(p,Y)}^P f,$$

where P acts diagonally on $T_{(p,Y)}(TH_n)$.

Proof. By a direct calculation,

$$\langle \text{grad}_{(p,Y)}^P f, S \rangle_P = \langle P \text{grad}_{(p,Y)}^P f, S \rangle = df_{(p,Y)}(S) = \langle \text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f, S \rangle$$

which proves the lemma. $\square$

So, if $\text{grad}_{(p,Y)} f = (U, V)$, then

$$\text{grad}_{(p,Y)}^P f = (P^{-1}U, P^{-1}V).$$

It follows from Proposition 3.3 that f_{Z_1} is also a first integral for the geodesic flow on $(TH_n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P)$.

In order to find quadratic first integrals, we use the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *An endomorphism A of $\mathfrak{v}$ is symmetric with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$ if and only if $PA = A^t P$, where A^t is the transpose of A with respect to the standard metric.*

Proof. Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{h}_n$ be arbitrary. Then $\langle AX, Y \rangle_P = \langle X, AY \rangle_P$ if and only if $\langle PAX, Y \rangle = \langle PX, AY \rangle$, and this holds if and only if $\langle PAX, Y \rangle = \langle A^t PX, Y \rangle$. $\square$

Since $\tilde{P}$ is symmetric with respect to the standard metric, there exist a basis $U_1, \dots, U_{2n}$ of $\mathfrak{v}$ such that $\tilde{P}U_i = \lambda_i U_i$ (with $\lambda_i > 0$, since $\tilde{P}$ is positive definite). This basis can be chosen orthonormal with respect to the standard metric, and moreover, since $j(Z)$ acts on $\mathfrak{v}$ as a multiple of the multiplication by $\sqrt{-1}$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$, we can assume that $U_{2i} = j(Z_1)U_{2i-1}$. So we can define the operators $\tilde{A}_i$ on $\mathfrak{v}$ such that

$$\tilde{A}_i U_{2i-1} = U_{2i-1} \quad \tilde{A}_i U_{2i} = U_{2i} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A}_i U_k = 0 \quad \text{if } k \neq 2i-1, 2i.$$

It follows that

- $\tilde{A}_i$ is symmetric with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$,
- $\tilde{A}_i$ commutes with $j_P(Z)$ and
- $[\tilde{A}_i, \tilde{A}_j] = 0$ for all i, j .

So Theorem 3.5 shows that we get a commuting independent family of first integrals for the geodesic flow on $(TH_n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P)$. Namely

$$g_{\tilde{A}_i}(p, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{A}_i Y, Y \rangle_P,$$

where $\tilde{A}_i$ is extended so that $\tilde{A}_i Z_1 = 0$.

Finally, in order to obtain the remaining integrals we use the Killing fields U_k^* , $k = 1, \dots, 2n$. In fact, we have, in the same manner as in the previous section, that

$$\tilde{F}_k(p, Y) = \langle Y, U_k \rangle_P - \langle j_P(Y_3)W_{\mathfrak{v}}, U_k \rangle_P$$

forms a family of first integrals for the geodesic flow such that

$$\{\tilde{F}_{2i-1}, \tilde{F}_{2j}\}^P = \delta_{ij} f_{Z_1}.$$

Theorem 5.4. *The geodesic flow on $(TH_n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P)$ is completely integrable in the sense of Liouville. Moreover the sets*

(1)

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f_{Z_1}\} \cup \{g_{\tilde{A}_i}\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{\tilde{F}_{2k-1}\}_{k=1}^n$$

(2) and

$$\mathcal{F}' = \{f_{Z_1}\} \cup \{g_{\tilde{A}_i}\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{\tilde{F}_{2k}\}_{k=1}^n$$

give two independent commuting families of first integrals of the geodesic flow.

Remark 5.5. Note that the case of a general Riemannian left-invariant metric on H_n does not give a naturally reductive space. Also the compact quotients considered here are not globally homogeneous but locally.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ADLER, P. VAN MOERBECKE, *Completely Integrable Systems, Euclidean Lie Algebras, and Curves*, Advances in Math. **38**, (1980) 267–317.
- [2] A. BOLSINOV and I. TAIMANOV, *On an example of an integrable geodesic flow with positive topological entropy*, Russ. Math. Surveys **54** (4), (1999) 833–835.
- [3] L. BUTLER, *A new class of homogeneous manifolds with Liouville-integrable geodesic flows*. CR Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Can. **21** (4), (1999) 127–131.
- [4] L. BUTLER, *Integrable geodesic flows with wild first integrals: the case of two-step nilmanifolds*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **23** (3), (2003) 771–797.
- [5] L. BUTLER, *Zero entropy, non-integrable geodesic flows and a non-commutative rotation vector*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (9), (2003) 3641–3650.
- [6] P. EBERLEIN, *Geometry of 2-step nilpotent Lie groups with a left-invariant metric*, Ann. Sci. E. N. S., 4 serie, **27** (5), (1994) 611–660.
- [7] P. EBERLEIN, *Geometry of 2-step nilpotent Lie groups*, Modern Dynamical Systems, Cambridge University Press, (2004) 67–101.
- [8] P. EBERLEIN, *Left invariant geometry of Lie groups*, Cubo **6** (1), (2004) 427–510.
- [9] A.T. FOMENKO, V. V. TROFIMOV, *Integrable Systems on Lie Algebras and Symmetric Spaces*, Gordon and Breach (1987).
- [10] C. GORDON, *Naturally reductive homogeneous Riemannian manifolds*, Canad. J. Math. **37** (3), (1985) 467–487.
- [11] C. GORDON and E. WILSON, *The spectrum of the Laplacian on Riemannian Heisenberg manifolds*, Michigan Math. J., **33** (1986), 253–271.
- [12] V. N. KOLOKOL'TSOV, *Geodesic flows on two-dimensional manifolds with additional first integral polynomial with respect to velocities*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **46**(5), (1982) 994–1010.
- [13] B. KOSTANT, *The solution to a generalized Toda lattice and representation theory*, Advances in Math. **34**, (1979) 195–338.
- [14] V. V. KOZLOV, *Topological obstructions to the integrability of natural mechanical systems*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **249**(6), (1979) 1299–1302.
- [15] J. LAURET, *Homogeneous nilmanifolds attached to representations of compact Lie groups*, Manuscr. Math. **99** (3), (1999) 287–309.
- [16] G. OVANDO, *Small oscillations and the Heisenberg Lie algebra*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40**, (2007) 2407–2424.
- [17] G. PATERNAIN, R. J. SPATZIER, *New examples of manifolds with completely integrable flows*, Adv. in Math. **108**, (1994) 346–366.
- [18] W. SYMES, *Systems of Toda type, inverse spectral problems and representation theory*, Invent. Math. **59**, (1978) 13–53.
- [19] I. A. TAIMANOV, *Topological obstructions to integrability of geodesic flows on non-simply-connected manifolds*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **51** (2), (1987) 429–435.
- [20] I. A. TAIMANOV, *Topology of Riemannian manifolds with integrable geodesic flows*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **205**, (1994) 150–163.
- [21] A. THIMM, *Integrable geodesic flows on homogeneous spaces*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **1**, (1981) 495–517.
- [22] J. WOLF, *On Locally Symmetric Spaces of Non-negative Curvature and certain other Locally Homogeneous Spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **37**, (1962–1963) 266–295.

A. KOCSARD. IME - UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE. RUA MÍRIO SANTOS BRAGA S/
N, 24020-140 NITERÓI, RJ, BRAZIL

E-mail address: `akocsard@id.uff.br`

G. OVANDO. CONICET - UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE ROSARIO. DEPTO. DE MATEMTICA,
ECEN - FCEIA, PELLEGRINI 250. 2000 ROSARIO

E-mail address: `gabriela@fceia.unr.edu.ar`

S. REGGIANI. CONICET - UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE ROSARIO. DEPTO. DE MATEMTICA,
ECEN - FCEIA, PELLEGRINI 250. 2000 ROSARIO

E-mail address: `reggiani@fceia.unr.edu.ar`